

JUDGE ROBERT S. LASNIK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR14-223RSL
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
vs.	)	CONTINUE TRIAL AND PRETRIAL
	)	MOTIONS DATES
DAVID MICHAEL SCHWABLAND,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

THE COURT has considered defendant's motion to continue the trial date and pretrial motions deadline (Dkt. # 17), as well as defendant's knowing and voluntary waiver (Dkt. # 18). The Court grants the motion and finds that:

1. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation due to counsel's need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible defenses, research the legality of the searches conducted in this case, prepare pretrial motions, consult with the client (which is complicated by the client's current location), and gather evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

2. This case is sufficiently complex, because it involves two separate crimes on separate dates and separate locations, that it would be unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the current trial schedule, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

